1	THURMAN W. ARNOLD, III, SBN: 107101 Certified Family Law Specialist			
2	Certified Family Law Specialist 225 South Civic Drive, Suite 1-3 PALM SPRINGS, CA 92262 TEL: (760)320-7915			
4	FAX: (760)320-0725			
5	Attorney for Respondent, JANE DOE			
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE			
10				
11	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:	}	CASE NUMBER: IND 000000	
12	PETITIONER: JOHN DOE	{	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION OF	
13	and	{	FACTS AND OF GENUINENESS OF DOCUMENTS, SET NO. 1, TO THE PETITIONER JOHN DOE	
14	RESPONDENT: JANE DOE	}	PETITIONER JOHN DOE	
15		{		
16)		
17	PROPOUNDING PARTY: Respondent, Jane Doe			
18	RESPONDING PARTY: Petitioner, John Doe			
19	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION S	ET NO.	: ONE	
20	In accordance with the provisions of Section 2033.030 of the Code of Civil			
21	Procedure, Respondent, JANE DOE, propounds to the Petitioner, JOHN DOE,			
22	Requests for Admission, Set No. One, a	as follow	s:	
23	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION OF FACTS			
24	<u>RFA No. 1</u> :			
25	The property commonly known as, Rancho Mirage, was			
26	acquired during the marriage and prior to YOUR alleged date of separation			
27	RFA No. 2:			
28	The property commonly known as	s	, Rancho Mirage, is the	
		1		

1	community property of the parties.				
2	RFA No. 3:				
3	The property commonly known as, Rancho Mirage, is jointly				
4	titled.				
5	RFA No. 4:				
6	Respondent never executed any Quitclaim Deed to YOU at any time pertaining				
7	to the property commonly known as, Rancho Mirage.				
8	<u>RFA No. 5</u> :				
9	No Family Code section 2640 reimbursements are due you from the property				
0	commonly known as, Rancho Mirage.				
1	<u>RFA No. 6</u>				
2	No transmutation ever occurred between either party as to the property				
3	commonly known as, Rancho Mirage.				
4	RFA No. 7:				
5	The 60% interest you hold in ABC, LLC is the community property of the parties.				
6	RFA No. 8:				
7	No Family Code section 2640 reimbursements are due you from the 50%				
8	interest in ABC, LLC.				
9	RFA No. 9:				
20	The 100% interest you hold in [the family business], Inc., is the community				
21	property of the parties.				
22	<u>RFA No. 10</u> :				
23	No Family Code section 2640 reimbursements are due you from the 100%				
24	interest in [the corporate family business].				
25	RFA No. 11:				
26	The interest you hold in XYZ Development is the community property of the				
27	parties.				
28	RFA No. 12:				

1	No Family Code section 2640 reimbursements are due you from the interest in		
2	XYZ Development.		
3	RFA No. 13:		
4	The interest you hold in the promissory note from Robert Smith is the community		
5	property of the parties.		
6	RFA No. 14:		
7	No Family Code section 2640 reimbursements are due you from the interest in		
8	the promissory note from Robert Smith.		
9	<u>RFA No. 15:</u>		
10	You hold no depository interest in any banking institution located outside the		
11	continental United States.		
12	<u>RFA No. 16</u> :		
13	There were no unsecured debts with lending institutions with an outstanding		
14	balance on the date of separation claimed by you in the Petition.		
15	<u>RFA No. 17</u> :		
16	The date of physical separation alleged by you occurred as of June 1, 2013.		
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION OF GENUINENESS OF DOCUMENTS		
18	<u>RFA No. 18</u> :		
19	The document attached hereto as EX-1 is a true and correct copy of the original		
20	transfer deed executed by you.		
21	<u>RFA No. 19</u> :		
22	The writing attached hereto as EX-2 is a true and correct copy of the original		
23	executed by you.		
24	DATED: THURMAN W. ARNOLD, III CFLS		
25	Attorney for Respondent, JANE DOE		
26	JAINE DUE		
27	ASSUME QUESTIONS TOTALING MORE THAN 35 OVERALL SPECIFIC TO THE		
28	ISSUES/PROPERTY IN YOUR CASE		
	ICANICA MENDELLA LA		

DECLARATION RE ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY

- 1. I am the attorney of record for the Respondent to this action.
- 2. I am propounding to Petitioner the attached Set No.1 of Requests for Admission..
- 3. This set of requests will cause a total number of 38 Requests for Admission propounded to the party to whom they are directed to exceed the number of RFA's permitted by paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 2033.030.
 - 4. I have previously propounded no RFA's to this party.
 - 5. This set of requests contains a total of [more than 35] RFA's.
- 6. I am personally familiar with the issues in previous discovery conducted by all parties in this case.
 - 7. I personally examined each of the questions of this set.
- 8. This number of questions is warranted under paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 2033.030 of the Code of Civil Procedure because they will expedite the investigation of this case, follow-up on previous responses, and is necessary to evaluate this substantial asset dissolution proceeding. Furthermore, the number of questions set forth in this set is warranted because only Petitioner has this information in his possession.
- 9. None of the questions in this set of production requests is being propounded for any improper purpose, such as to harass that party, or the attorney for the party, to whom it is directed, or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation.

l declare un	der penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of
California that the f	oregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was
executed on	2014 at Palm Springs, Riverside County, California.

THURMAN W. ARNOLD, III, CFLS
Attorney for Respondent,
JANE DOE