1	THURMAN W. ARNOLD, III, SBN: 107101 Certified Family Law Specialist	
2	Certified Family Law Specialist 225 South Civic Drive, Suite 1-3 PALM SPRINGS, CA 92262	
3	TEL: (760)320-7915 FAX: (760)320-0725	
4		
5	Attorney for Respondent, JANE DOE	
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, RIVERSIDE BRANCH	
10		
11	IN RE THE MATTER OF:) CASE NUMBER: RID
12	PETITIONER: JOHN DOE	DECLARATION OF THURMAN W.
13	and	ARNOLD, III, CFLS, RE ATTORNE FEES AND COSTS AND TO TRANSFER CASE TO INDIO BRANCH
14	RESPONDENT: JANE DOE	
15) DATE:
16		TIME: DEPT:
17		

ORNEY

18 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all Courts of 19 the State of California, and am the attorney of record for the Respondent, JANE DOE 20 (herein "JANE"). The facts stated below are based upon my personal knowledge and 21 as to facts stated on information and belief I believe them to be true, and if called as a 22 witness, I could competently testify thereto. I offer this Declaration in lieu of personal 23 testimony, pursuant to §§ 2009 and 2015.5 of the California Code of Civil Procedure; 24 Rule 1225 of California Rules of Court; pursuant to the authority of Reifler v. Superior 25 Court (1974) 39 CA3rd 479; and Marriage of Stevenot (1984) 154 CA3rd 1051.

26 2. I provide this declaration in support of JANE's RFO for attorney's fees 27 and a transfer of this proceedings to the Indio Branch of the Riverside County Superior 28 Court. It is intended to be made in supplement of form FL-158 (Supporting Declaration

1

1 for Attorney's Fees and Costs Attachment) and form FL-157 (Spousal or Partner 2 Support Declaration Attachment).

3 3. I was licensed to practice law in 1982. I have practiced family law since 4 1982, but my practice previously included civil and criminal jury trial work until 1992. 5 From 1992 to 2008 ninety percent of my practice was devoted to divorce and family law 6 matters (the remaining practice mostly included civil litigation including real estate 7 matters). I now only practice family law. I have tried numerous complex family law 8 cases in the Riverside and San Bernardino County Superior Courts and regularly serve 9 as a temporary judge in family law matters in Indio and occasionally Hemet. I am a 10 Certified Family Law Specialist. I was the Chair of the Family Law Section of the Desert 11 Bar Association in 2012 and 2013. In 2013 I helped establish the Indio voluntary family 12 law mediation program together with Barrie Roberts, which was developed from the 13 downtown Riverside program created by Judge Jackson Lucky.

14 4. In the past 24 months I have undertaken over 120 hours of family law 15 seminar training not only covering substantive family law, but separately specializing in 16 mediation and collaborative law processes. I also own and operate Desert Family 17 Mediation Services in Palm Springs, which is a mediation practice devoted to assisting 18 family law litigants in resolving their affairs economically with the minimum of conflict. 19 The California Family Code attorney fee provisions make clear that the legislature's 20 intent includes ensuring equal and adequate access to lawyers and justice in family law litigation, particularly as it relates to 'out-spouses' and a recognition that cases tend to 22 settle and to settle equitably and so unburden the Family Courts when the disputants 23 have competent legal representatives.

24 5. My practice philosophy is consistent with my mediation training; I hope to 25 avoid the time and expense of trial in this matter, but can only accomplish that if my 26 client has adequate financial resources for her to present her case. In this proceeding I 27 believe that at least \$7,500 in attorney's fees from the Petitioner is required, especially 28 since, as JANE makes plain in her RFO declaration, she has zero resources. Said

21

\$7,500 will allow for me to continue to do the general work needed for disposition of this
 case, either by settlement or through trial. As JANE affirms in her declaration.

3

4

5

6

7

8

6. My rate is \$425 per hour for this matter. My associate Michael C. Peterson's rate is \$335 per hour (Mr. Peterson recently took the examination to become a Certified Family Law Specialist and is awaiting the results). My hourly rate is reasonable for this community based upon my certification, my years of experience and training, and the complexity of the issues presented by this case. Mr. Peterson's hourly rate is likewise reasonable for this community, in light of his five licensed years of legal practice of family law, his 100+ hours of training/education in the past year for mediation and preparation of becoming a certified family law specialist, and his research, analytical, oral argument, and law and motion capabilities.

7. I bill my legal assistant Diane Dancy at the rate of \$185 per hour, and my certified paralegal Kristin Dancy at the rate of \$115 per hour. Mrs. Dancy (senior) has been employed by me for over 22 years, and utilizing and billing her whenever possible saves my client significant sums that would otherwise be billed at my rates.

8. I was retained by JANE on December 12, 2013. JANE paid me an initial retainer of \$3,500 which she borrowed. That sum will likely be completely exhausted by the time this RFO is heard. To date I have invested approximately 5 hours into the case, including drafting this RFO and the accompanying pleadings concurrently filed. I anticipate 1 hour for a Reply Declaration, and 5 hours for travel to and from the Riverside Court, including the hearing. From JANE's initial retainer the \$450 filing fee for the Response was paid. The RFO fee is \$90.

9. This is not a complicated case and appears to be largely a negative
estate except for retirement assets earned mostly during the marriage which are held
and controlled by the Petitioner. Although the house where JANE lives was acquired by
prior to marriage and was never jointly titled, she declares that it is underwater by a
significant amount. Therefore, I do not believe a Moore-Marsden analysis will need to

1 be undertaken. JANE did start a sole proprietorship hair salon during the marriage 2 which I suspect has zero value, but should Petitioner wish to assert otherwise this case 3 will thereby be made more complex and I will return to the Court seeking further fees and forensic costs. This is a long term marriage within the meaning of Fam. Code sections 4320(I) and 4336 of almost 13 years and the Family Code section 4320 factors will need to be analyzed and presented to the Court. Petitioner is presently alleging a date of separation April 11, 2013 which in my opinion and analysis is not at all correct, as more particularly addressed in JANE's Declaration. However, the matter should not require a motion to bifurcate marital status because it will not be greatly to expensive to analyze the marital balance sheet on both alleged date and have the matter finally ruled upon in one trial. The parties appear to have a cooperative joint parenting agreement with a 20% timeshare to the Petitioner and I don't expect child custody to be a major battle although the Respondent has expressed concerns to me that Petitioner may retaliate for her filing her RFO. There is a gross disparity of earnings between the parties in favor of the Petitioner.

10. Some basic discovery will need to be accomplished, which includes drafting and answering Form Interrogatories; Special Interrogatories; one production demand; and possibly the Petitioner's deposition. Discovery will entail at last 8 hours. I intend to subpoena his employment and retirement records. We will need to draft Respondent's PDD and we take our client's fiduciary duties seriously and so this will require several hours of time between JANE and Ms. Dancy at my office. Therefore, I reasonably expect at least another 15 hours before this case will be ready for trial or settlement assuming that Petitioner is cooperative and transparent, and twice that amount if he deigns not to be.

11. Respondent has requested a transfer of this case to Indio, once this
Honorable Court issues its interims orders. This makes complete sense for the
convenience of the parties, witnesses, the children, and all evidence relevant to this
case is in Palm Springs, CA. It will be much more expensive to litigate this case in

4

1 downtown Riverside.

2

3

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. No prior attorney's fees or temporary spousal support order has been previously made in this matter, and JANE has received negligible voluntary support and no attorney's fees contribution from Petitioner since the parties' separation in November, 2013.

13. 6 As set forth in JANE's accompanying declaration and in her Income and Expense statement, she has zero ability to pay the attorney's fees, expert's fees, 8 and costs associated with adequate preparation and presentation of his case to the 9 opposition and to the Court. The parties' disparity in income is dramatic in this case 10 (estimated to be about a 1:5 ratio). I submit herewith a brief Points and Authorities in support of the attorney fee request.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this day of January, 2014 at Palm Springs, California.

> THURMAN W. ARNOLD, III, CFLS Attorney for Respondent JANE DOE